

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
			E	XAMINER
	t .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				11
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applican...s)

09/284,816

Curtis E. Sherrer

Malcorps et al

Art Unit

1761



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Stx (61 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (61 MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED [35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 24, 2001 2a) X This action is FiNAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 40-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 40-61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The grawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-id). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	A SH	I for Reply HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE M MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ONTH(S) FROM				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 24, 2001 2a) X This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 X Claim(s) 40-61	af If the be If NC co Fallu - Any	after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory make considered timely. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire communication. It is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication.	inimum of thirty (30) days will SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) X This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 40-61	Status	5					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 40-61	1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 24, 2001	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 40-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filled on is/are objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	2a) 🗙	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
4) X Claim(s) 40-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 40-61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	3)	·					
day of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	Dispos	sition of Claims					
5) Claim(s)	4) X	Claim(s) <u>40-61</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
6) X Claim(s) 40-61 is/are rejected. 7). Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8). Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
7). Claim(s)	5)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	6) X	Claim(s) 40-61	is/are rejected.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	7),	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	8),						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Applica	cation Papers					
The proposed drawing correction filed on	9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	12)						
a: All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	131	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d).				
	a)	All b) Some* c) None of:					
O Control and an after adjuste, decreased have been reached to Anglication No.		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applica	tion No.				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	*S	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					

For the seed of the second of

19 Motion of Informal Patient Application, PTP, 112

to be of Dialisperson's Patient Fraking Reseau. PTO 948.

Schomation Displace in Stationage's PTO 1449. Paper to see

X to the transmission of the contract

11

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim recites "protein particles" while the specification recites "beer particles."
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 40-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 40 is indefinite because the scope of the phrases "low temperature" and "room

3

Serial Number: 09/284,816

Art Unit: 1761

- 6. Claims 43-46 and 48, 52-55 and 58-61 are indefinite because the scope of "about" is unknown. The term is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.
- 7. Claims 40, 49 and 50 are indefinite because the scope of the phrases "beverage of the beer type," "" are unknown.
- 8. Claim 50 is indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the phrase "said preparing step." Therefore Claims 50-55 and 57 are unsearchable at this time.
- 9. Claim 56 is indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the phrase "said proportion step." Therefore Claims 56 and 58-61 are also unsearchable at this time.
- 10. Claims 51 and 57 are indefinite because it is not clear how they further define the previous claims. Specifically, the only pectin that applicants have support for is pectin E440 and they are also seen as equivalents.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this particle of the description.

Art Unit: 1761

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4

- 12. Claims 40, 41 and 43-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brasserie Achouffe (http://www.artsanpress.u-net.com/Wallonia/Brewery/Achouffe /achouffe.html) in light of Ashurst (Production and Packaging of Non-Carbonated Fruit Juices and Fruit Beverages (pages 174-6).
- 13. Brasserie Achouffe has been making Aubel beer that is produced by adding 8% apple juice to the beer to induce the secondary fermentation. Apples inherently contain large amounts of pectin. Evidence of this is found in Ashurst, who states that apple juice inherently contains .37 to 2.5% pectin (see page 176).
- 14. It is noted for the record, that the scope of pectin E440 is broadly any pectin. As such, the prior art pectin anticipates the limitation to directed to pectin E440 and therefore the prior art process inherently anticipates the limitations directed to irreversible and reversible hazes. Also see page 6 of the instant specification, whereby applicants admit that "pectin may be introduced in the form of a crude or impure source, such as a fruit fraction, extract or concentrate."
- 15. The Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing Applicant's product with the product of the prior art in order to establish that the product of the prior art

Lynn street, the

Art Unit: 1761

that the claimed are functionally different than those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 U.S.P.Q. 430 (CCPA 1977); *Exparte Gray*, 10 U.S.P.Q.2d 1922, 1923 (BPAI).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

16. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the well known mixed drink "Snakebite."
- 18. The notoriously well known and old drink called a "Snakebite" whereby generally a

6

Art Unit: 1761

be present in the final mixture. While it is considered inherent that the claimed attributes would be obtained due to the significant amount of pectin, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to modify the amount of cider added to the beer because those in the beverage art are constantly modifying recipes to produce new products to satiate consumer appeal.

19. Finally, Applicants' attention is invited to *In re Levin*, 84 U.S.P.Q. 232 and the cases cited therein, which are considered in point in the fact situation of the instant case, and wherein the Court stated on page 234 as follows:

This court has taken the position that new recipes or formulas for cooking food which involve the addition or elimination of common ingredients, or for treating them in ways which differ from the former practice, do not amount to invention, merely because it is not disclosed that, in the constantly developing art of preparing food, no one else ever did the particular thing upon which the applicant asserts his right to a patent. In all such cases, there is nothing patentable unless the applicant by a proper showing further establishes a coaction or cooperative relationship between the selected ingredients which produces a new, unexpected, and useful function. *In re Benjamin D. White*, 17 C.C.P.A (Patents) 956, 39 F.2d 974, 5 U.S.P.Q. 267; *In re Mason et al.*, 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1144, 156 F.2d 189, 70 U.S.P.Q. 221.

Conclusion

20. No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1761

21. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis Sherrer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 to 4:30. The **fax phone number** for this Group is (703)-305-3602.
- 23. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer

Primary Examiner

August 2, 2001